

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/771,151	01/26/2001	Danilo D. Lasic	55325-8169.US00	55325-8169.US00 9729	
75	90 07/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
ALZA Corporation			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
1900 Charlestor M10-3	n Road, P.O. Box 7210		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Mountain View, CA 94039-7210			1615		
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/771,151	LASIC ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a Ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the complex set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the complex set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>19 June 2004</u>. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		ı in				
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE:							
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject 							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ∑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NO	T place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	l will be entered a w or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
0. Other:		/ skehe					
		Gollamudi S Kishor Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615	re, PhD				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Instant claims do not recite what the 'selected size intervals' are and how the liposomes are analzyed for the presence or absence of precipitate; as already pointed out in the final office action, the very fact that the references use liposomes of a specific population are used indicates that 'liposomes of selected size intervals' are used and there is nothing in the references which indicates presence of absence of precipitate was not checked. The very fact that the references use the term supersaturated 'solutions' indicacate that there was no precipitate. Since there was no precipitate even the step (iv) (selecting liposomes of a sie that has no entrapped precipitated compound) is also implicit.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner

Group 1600